

A Comparative Study of Sports Injury Incidence and Patterns Between Under-19 and Senior Athletes

Toufik Gazi¹, Dr. Bharati Shankar Kale², Dr. Hira Chatterjee^{3*}

¹ Research Scholar, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India

² Assistant Professor, Dept. of Physical Education, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India

³ Associate Professor, Dept. of Physical Education, Prabhu Jagatbandhu College, Howrah, West Bengal, India (Univ. of Calcutta)

Abstract

Background: While participation in sports aids overall physical and mental development, it inherently creates a risk of sports injuries. Significant variations in injury patterns occur based on age, training intensity, and developmental stage. Understanding age-specific injury patterns is crucial for creating effective and targeted preventive strategies.

Objective: To conduct a thorough comparative evaluation of sports injury trends, types, causes, and post-injury management practices among under-19 (U-19) and senior category male and female competitive athletes using a standardized and validated research instrument.

Methodology: Using a comparative cross-sectional design, a cohort of 300 competitive athletes was selected via stratified random sampling (150 U-19: 85 females, 65 males; 150 seniors: 65 females, 85 males). Data were collected using expert-validated sports injury epidemiological questionnaires (Overall S-CVI = 0.99, κ = 0.94). Chi-square (χ^2) tests were conducted at $p < 0.05$.

Results: Significant differences were observed between under-19 and senior athletes regarding injury type ($p < 0.01$), cause of injury ($p < 0.01$), and medical consultation habits ($p < 0.01$). Senior athletes exhibited a higher prevalence of chronic/overuse injuries affecting the lower body. Conversely, under-19 athletes showed a higher rate of acute/traumatic injuries due to poor technique or direct collision.

Keywords: Sports injuries, Under-19, Senior athletes, Instrument validation, Content Validity Index, Chi-square analysis

1. Introduction

In modern sports science, optimizing athletic performance while minimizing the risk of injury remains a primary challenge. Competitive athletes in the under-19 (adolescent and developmental) category differ in physical, physiological, and psychological makeup from senior (experienced and professional) athletes. Under-19 athletes undergo rapid physical growth, which can induce joint laxity, tendon vulnerability, and biomechanical imbalances.

Conversely, senior athletes face age-related issues such as cumulative long-term training loads, muscular fatigue, and reduced tissue elasticity.

Contemporary literature has largely investigated youth groups or elite senior populations separately, presenting a clear scarcity of integrated comparative data to evaluate injury patterns, causal mechanisms, and treatment behaviours across these two generations under a unified methodological framework. Furthermore, ensuring the psychometric robustness of evaluation tools via strict criteria, Content Validity Index (CVI) analysis, and temporal stability (test-retest reliability) is vital for empirical validity. The current study addresses this empirical gap using a validated 39-item research instrument administered across a robust dataset of 300 athletes.

2. Materials and Methods

Participants and Sampling

A total of 300 competitive athletes were recruited from various sports academies, regional clubs, and national-level tournaments using a stratified random sampling technique. Table 1 details the distribution of the sample across age groups and gender.

Table 1: Distribution of Sample Across Age Groups and Gender

Categor y	Female (n)	Male (n)	Total Number (n)
Under-19 (U-19)	85	65	150
Senior Group	65	85	150
Total	150	150	300

Research Questionnaire Structure

The formulated research instrument contains four detailed sections capturing comprehensive demographics, lifestyle, injury patterns, and medical history metrics:

- **Section 1: Personal Data (12 items)** — Covers athlete identification, date of birth, age, gender, height, weight, residence type, accommodation, district, educational status, marital status, and highest level of sports participation.
- **Section 2: Lifestyle Data (8 items)** — Examines dietary habits, sleep time, sleep duration, relaxation activities, smoking habits, health-related issues, medical prescriptions, and rehabilitation duration.
- **Section 3: Sports Injury Incidences and Patterns (12 items)** — Analyzes associated sports events, specific athletic disciplines, age group, injury status, physiological tissue involvement, location, classification (sprain, strain, fracture, contusion), and primary causes.
- **Section 4: Injury Frequency and Medical Records (7 items)** — Investigates doctor consultation, chronicity of injury, previous injury history, recurrence rate, post-treatment condition, current medications, and side effects of treatments.

Content Validity and Reliability

Content validity was evaluated by an expert panel (5 experts: orthopedic doctor, physiotherapist, physical education professor, elite athlete). Consensus from at least 4 experts was required for acceptance criteria ($I-CVI \geq 0.80$). Temporal stability was evaluated through a pilot study ($N = 80$, across four age groups: U-14, U-17, U-19, Senior) tested twice at a 15-day interval. Landis and Koch (1977) evaluation criteria were followed for Cohen's Kappa (κ).

Table 2: Psychometric Evaluation of the Research Instrument

Questionnaire Section	Total Items	Expert Agreement Range	Sub-Scale Mean (S - CVI/Ave)	Kappa Coefficient (κ) Range	Reliability Status
Section 1: Personal Data	12	4 to 5 experts	1.00	0.92 – 1.00	Perfect / Almost Perfect
Section 2: Lifestyle Data	8	4 to 5 experts	0.975	0.91 – 1.00	Perfect / Almost Perfect
Section 3: Injury Patterns	12	4 to 5 experts	0.988	0.97 – 1.00	Perfect / Almost Perfect
Section 4: Medical Records	7	4 to 5 experts	1.00	0.96 – 1.00	Perfect / Almost Perfect

Note: Total S-CVI/Ave = 0.99, Universal Agreement (S-CVI/UA = 0.95), and overall general reliability index κ = 0.94, establishing exceptional psychometric robustness.

3. Research Hypotheses

Twelve null hypotheses (H₀₁ to H₀₁₂) were formulated to guide the statistical evaluation:

- **H₀₁ to H₀₄:** There are no significant differences between under-19 and senior athletes regarding duration, type (acute vs. chronic), physiological site, and severity class.
- **H₀₅ to H₀₈:** There are no significant differences regarding primary cause, frequency of occurrence, medical consultation behavior, and novelty of injury (new vs. old condition).
- **H₀₉ to H₀₁₂:** There are no significant differences in pre-treatment re-injury, post-treatment re-injury at the same site, pharmacological usage, and medication side effects.

4. Results

Descriptive statistics and Chi-square (χ²) tests of independence were performed at the p < 0.05 significance level. Table 3 summarizes the comparative injury distribution and inferential statistical outputs.

Table 3: Comparative Injury Distribution and Statistical Outputs

Parameter	Sub-division	Under-19 (n=150)	Senior (n=150)	Chi-Square (χ ²)	p-Value	Decision (H) ₀
Injury Type	Acute (Traumatic)	102	6	18.42	< 0.01	Rejected
	Chronic (Overuse)	48	4			
Body Part	Upper Extremity	4	8	3.12	> 0.05	Accepted
	Lower Extremity	4	1			
	Spine/ Trunk	8	9			
Cause	Collision/Contact	2	8	24.15	< 0.01	Rejected
	Overtraining/Fatigue	6	3			
	Poor Technique	8	4			
		3	9			
		2	2			

Parameter	Sub-division	Under-19 (n=150)	Senior (n=150)	Chi-Square (χ^2)	p- Value	Decision (H) ₀
Medical Advice	Registered Physician Self-Medication/Physio	5	112	21.04	< 0.01	Rejected
		5	38			
		9				
Recurrence	Post-Treatment Re-injury at Same Site	538	62	8.11	< 0.05	Rejected

Note: Hypotheses H_{01} , H_{04} , H_{06} , H_{08} , H_{09} , H_{011} and H_{012} exhibited mixed or partial significant trends subject to underlying variance transformations.

5. Discussion

Injury Categories & Types (H_{02} Rejected, $p < 0.01$)

68% of recorded injuries among under-19 athletes were acute, whereas 57.3% of senior injuries were chronic or overuse-related. This divergence stems primarily from youth structural vulnerability and rapid growth spurts versus senior cumulative training loads and sustained mechanical burdens.

Physiological Distribution (H_{03} Accepted, $p > 0.05$)

Lower extremities (knee, ankle, hamstring complex) represented the primary injury sites across both cohorts (54.6% for U-19 and 65.3% for seniors), aligning with biomechanical stress that universally targets lower limb kinematic chains.

Injury Causation (H_{05} Rejected, $p < 0.01$)

Youth athletes suffered injuries primarily due to poor technical execution and unmanaged competitive collisions, whereas senior injuries stemmed from overtraining fatigue and inadequate recovery.

Medical Consultation & Recurrence

Senior athletes demonstrated higher health consciousness, with 74.6% consulting registered physicians compared to 36.6% for youth (H_{07} rejected, $p < 0.01$). However, seniors experienced significantly higher post-treatment re-injury rates at identical sites (H_{10} rejected, $p < 0.05$), reflecting slower tissue-healing dynamics.

6. Conclusion

This comparative study establishes that age, training intensity, and competitive level profoundly govern the sports injury profile. While lower limb architecture remains universally vulnerable, a significant bifurcation exists in injury causation and mechanical nature ($p < 0.01$). Under-19 athletes are susceptible to acute traumatic events driven by rapid growth and contact, whereas seniors fall victim to chronic overuse pathologies. Furthermore, alarming healthcare trends were identified: youth resort to informal self-medication, while seniors face high re-injury recurrences driven by premature return-to-play pressures. Age-appropriate interventions are indispensable to safeguarding athletic longevity.

7. Recommendations

- ✓ **Biomechanical and Technical Training (Under-19):** Implement mandatory movement screening and proper technical execution drills in grassroots academies.
- ✓ **Scientific Periodization (Seniors):** Utilize advanced periodization models to balance high-intensity workloads with structured recovery intervals.
- ✓ **Institutional Sports Medicine:** Establish dedicated medical wings within sports organizations to track injury metrics and eliminate informal self-medication among adolescents.
- ✓ **Targeted Lower Extremity Conditioning:** Incorporate specialized strength, neuromuscular control, and flexibility routines emphasizing knee, ankle, and hamstring stability.
- ✓ **Mandatory Return-to-Play Protocols:** Enforce strict functional rehabilitation and objective clearance criteria supervised by certified physiotherapists.
- ✓ **Anti-Doping and Pharmacology Education:** Regulate analgesic administration and conduct educational workshops on avoiding pharmacological side effects and doping.
- ✓ **Psychological Stress Monitoring:** Employ sports psychologists to monitor psychological fatigue alongside physical overtraining indicators.
- ✓ **Protective Gear and Surface Standards:** Make certified protective equipment mandatory and ensure playing surfaces meet international safety standards.
- ✓ **Adolescent Growth Spurt Screening:** Run bi-annual musculoskeletal and anthropometric screenings during adolescent growth phases.
- ✓ **First Aid Certification for Coaches:** Require all coaches and assistant personnel to hold current certifications in sports first aid (e.g., RICE protocol).
- ✓ **Longitudinal Epidemiological Surveillance:** Allocate funding toward prospective longitudinal studies and centralized digital databases.
- ✓ **Holistic Multi-Disciplinary Collaboration:** Create collaborative frameworks uniting coaches, physiotherapists, sports scientists, and medical practitioners.

8. References

- Ahmed, R., & Rahman, M. (2020). Epidemiology of sports injuries among youth athletes in South Asia. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 23(1), 45-52.
- Bahr, R., Clarsen, B., & Derman, W. (2020). International Olympic Committee consensus statement: Methods for recording and reporting of epidemiological data on injury and illness in sport. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 54(7), 372-389.
- Clarsen, B., et al. (2021). Overuse injuries in elite athletes: A five-year prospective study. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 31(4), 890-899.
- Drew, M. K., & Finch, C. F. (2020). The relationship between training load and injury, illness and soreness: A systematic review. *Sports Medicine*, 50(5), 1011-1025.
- Emery, C. A., & Pasanen, K. (2021). Current trends in youth sports injury prevention. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, 20(3), 143-150.
- Gabbett, T. J. (2020). The training-injury prevention paradox: Should athletes be training smarter and harder? *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 54(15), 886-895.
- Hainline, B., et al. (2022). Mental health and injury risk in adolescent and senior athletes: A comparative analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 56(2), 110-117.
- Junge, A., & Feddermann-Demont, N. (2021). Prevalence of sports injuries in teenage vs. mature football players. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 56(8), 842-850.

- Khan, K. M., & Meeuwisse, W. (2022). Managing the chronic tendon injury in senior sport: New insights 2020–2022. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(9), 2415-2423.
- Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*, 33(1), 159-174.
- McBain, K., et al. (2023). Prevention of lower limb injuries in youth sports: A cluster randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 26(2), 98-105.
- Nielsen, R. O., et al. (2022). A novel approach to studying the injury mechanism: The complex systems approach. *Sports Medicine*, 52(3), 445-456.
- Pluim, B. M., et al. (2023). Sports injuries and illnesses in senior tennis players: A 3-year prospective study. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 57(4), 210-216.
- Roos, K. G., et al. (2021). Epidemiology of college sports injuries: A comparative study of age categories. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 56(11), 1201-1209.
- Soligard, T., et al. (2024). Compliance with sports injury prevention programs in young female athletes. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 34(1), 112-121.
- Timpka, T., et al. (2023). Injury patterns in youth track and field athletes: The Under-19 perspective. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 41(6), 567-575.
- van Mechelen, W., et al. (2024). Redefining the sequence of prevention for sports injuries in the modern era. *Sports Medicine*, 54(2), 289-297.
- Waldén, M., et al. (2025). Elite football injury trends from 2020 to 2025: What have we learned? *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 59(1), 12-19.
- Whittaker, J. L., et al. (2024). Risk of post-traumatic osteoarthritis 3-10 years following knee injury in youth sport. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 58(8), 430-438.
- Zadpoor, A. A. (2025). Biomechanical factors contributing to overuse injuries in senior runners. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 162, 111-120.
- Zarei, M., et al. (2026). Efficacy of a modified injury prevention program on lower extremity injuries in youth male and female soccer players. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 54(3), 712-720.