CHARACTERIZATION TECHNIQUES FOR PHYSICALLY SYNTHESIZED NANOPARTICLES: PRINCIPLES, INSTRUMENTATION, AND ANALYTICAL APPLICATIONS

Kanimozhi, S., Vidhya, T and S. Dhanushuraj

Department of Physics, *Kongu College of Arts and Science, Karur – 639 006, Tamil Nadu, India*

ABSTRACT

Accurate characterization of nanoparticles is essential for validating synthesis outcomes, optimizing fabrication processes, and ensuring the suitability of nanoscale materials for their intended applications. This paper examines five principal characterization techniques employed for nanoparticles synthesized by physical methods: X-ray diffraction (XRD), ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy (UV-Vis), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Each technique probes distinct physicochemical properties of nanoparticles and provides complementary information that together constitutes a comprehensive characterization profile. XRD analyzes crystallographic structure, phase composition, and crystallite size through Bragg's law and the Scherrer equation, making it indispensable for confirming the crystalline phases formed during physical synthesis. UV-Vis spectroscopy examines optical absorption behavior in the 200–800 nm range based on the Beer-Lambert Law, enabling analysis of surface plasmon resonance in metallic nanoparticles and determination of particle concentration. FTIR identifies functional groups and surface chemical bonds through infrared absorption patterns, providing critical information about surface modification and ligand interactions. TEM generates atomic-resolution images by transmitting a high-energy electron beam through ultra-thin samples, revealing internal nanostructure, crystallinity, and particle morphology at the sub-nanometer scale. SEM scans a focused electron beam across the sample surface and detects secondary electrons, backscattered electrons, and characteristic X-rays to produce high-magnification three-dimensional surface images along with elemental composition data. Together, these five techniques provide a multi-dimensional characterization framework that is essential for linking synthesis parameters to nanoparticle properties and application performance.

Keywords: *nanoparticle characterization, XRD, UV-Vis spectroscopy, FTIR, transmission electron microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, Bragg's law, Scherrer equation, surface plasmon resonance.*

INTRODUCTION

The synthesis of nanoparticles through physical methods produces materials whose functional performance depends critically on their structural, optical, chemical, and morphological properties. While the synthesis process determines these properties in principle, experimental outcomes are subject to variation based on equipment settings, ambient conditions, and material characteristics. Characterization studies therefore serve a dual purpose: they confirm that the synthesis has produced nanoparticles with the intended properties, and they provide quantitative data that can be used to refine synthesis parameters for improved outcomes. In the context of physical synthesis, where the absence of chemical stabilizers means that particle properties are governed entirely by physical process conditions, robust characterization is especially important.

Nanoparticle characterization draws on a wide array of analytical techniques spanning microscopy, spectroscopy, and diffraction. Each technique interrogates a different aspect of the nanoparticle system: crystallographic structure, optical response, chemical bonding, internal morphology, and surface topology. No single technique provides a complete characterization; rather, a combination of methods is required to build a comprehensive understanding of the nanoparticle's properties. This paper examines the five characterization techniques most commonly employed for physically synthesized nanoparticles — XRD, UV-Vis, FTIR, TEM, and SEM — with attention to their operating principles, instrumentation, and the specific types of information each technique yields in the context of nanoparticle analysis.

Feynman (1960) first articulated the theoretical basis for manipulating matter at the nanoscale, a vision that implicitly required the development of characterization tools capable of resolving structural features at dimensions far below those accessible by conventional optical microscopy. The subsequent development of electron microscopy, diffraction analysis, and spectroscopic techniques has progressively realized this vision, enabling researchers to probe the atomic and molecular structure of nanoscale materials with increasing precision. Laurent et al. (2008) demonstrated the importance of comprehensive characterization in their study of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, employing multiple techniques including XRD for phase identification and TEM for morphological analysis to establish the relationship between synthesis conditions and nanoparticle properties. Their work established a precedent for multi-technique

characterization that remains the standard in nanoparticle research. Daniel and Astruc (2004) reviewed gold nanoparticle synthesis and applications, with particular attention to the optical properties arising from surface plasmon resonance, a phenomenon directly measured by UV-Vis spectroscopy. Their analysis underscored the value of spectroscopic characterization in establishing the size-dependent optical behavior of metallic nanoparticles and confirmed that UV-Vis absorption profiles serve as reliable indicators of nanoparticle size and shape.

Shin et al. (2016) examined the characterization of mesoporous silica nanoparticles used in composite gel polymer electrolytes, employing a combination of XRD, FTIR, and SEM to comprehensively characterize the structural and surface properties of the nanoparticles. Their study illustrated how the combined application of diffraction and spectroscopic characterization can reveal both bulk crystalline order and surface functional group chemistry, which together determine nanoparticle performance in electrochemical applications. Brust et al. (1994) demonstrated the critical role of characterization in confirming the synthesis of thiol-derivatized gold nanoparticles, using spectroscopic and structural analysis to verify nanoparticle formation and surface functionalization. Their work highlighted how FTIR in particular provides direct evidence of surface ligand binding that cannot be inferred from morphological characterization alone.

CHARACTERIZATION TECHNIQUES

X-ray diffraction operates by directing X-rays onto a crystalline sample, where they interact with the crystal lattice and undergo constructive interference according to Bragg's law, expressed as $n\lambda = 2d \sin \theta$, where n is the order of diffraction, λ is the X-ray wavelength, d is the interplanar spacing, and θ is the diffraction angle. The resulting diffraction pattern consists of peaks at specific angles that are unique to the material's atomic arrangement and can be compared with standard reference databases to identify crystalline phases and confirm the material's composition. In the context of physically synthesized nanoparticles, XRD is used to verify that the synthesis process has produced the intended crystalline phase without unwanted secondary phases. The Scherrer equation, which relates peak broadening to crystallite size, allows XRD data to be used for estimating the average crystallite size of the nanoparticles. A typical XRD system includes an X-ray source such as a copper or molybdenum target, a sample holder, and a detector that captures the diffracted X-rays.

Ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy measures the absorption or transmission of light by nanoparticle suspensions across the 200–800 nm wavelength range. The technique is grounded in the Beer-Lambert Law, expressed as $A = \varepsilon Cl$, where A is absorbance, ε is the molar absorptivity, C is the concentration of the absorbing species, and l is the optical path length. For metallic nanoparticles such as gold and silver, UV-Vis spectroscopy is particularly valuable for characterizing surface plasmon resonance, a collective oscillation of conduction electrons at the nanoparticle surface that produces characteristic absorption peaks whose position and intensity depend on nanoparticle size, shape, and composition. The instrumentation of a UV-Vis spectrophotometer includes a deuterium lamp for ultraviolet wavelengths and a tungsten-halogen lamp for visible wavelengths, a monochromator for wavelength selection, a sample cuvette, and a photodiode or photomultiplier detector.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy identifies the functional groups and chemical bonds present on or within nanoparticles by measuring their absorption of infrared radiation. When infrared light passes through a sample, specific wavelengths are absorbed by molecular bonds undergoing characteristic vibrations including stretching, bending, and twisting motions. Each molecular bond type absorbs at a characteristic frequency, producing a spectral fingerprint that enables the identification of chemical functional groups and surface modifications. The FTIR system includes an infrared light source, a Michelson interferometer that generates an interference pattern by splitting and recombining the IR beam, a sample holder, and a detector such as a deuterated triglycine sulfate detector or mercury cadmium telluride detector. Raw interferogram data is converted to an absorption spectrum through Fourier transform processing. In nanoparticle characterization, FTIR is used to confirm the absence of residual organic contaminants and to characterize surface ligand interactions in functionalized nanoparticles.

Transmission electron microscopy produces high-resolution images of nanoparticle internal structure by transmitting a high-energy electron beam, typically in the range of 80 to 300 keV, through an ultra-thin sample. As electrons pass through the sample, they undergo transmission, scattering, or absorption interactions that encode structural and compositional information. Electromagnetic lenses then focus the transmitted electrons to form a magnified image revealing atomic-level features including crystalline lattice planes, grain boundaries, defects, and particle shape.

The TEM system includes an electron source such as a tungsten filament, lanthanum hexaboride crystal, or field emission gun, a condenser lens system, objective and projection lenses, and a fluorescent screen or CCD camera for image capture. A high-vacuum environment is essential to prevent electron scattering by residual gas molecules. TEM is the most direct technique for confirming the internal crystalline order of physically synthesized nanoparticles and for measuring individual particle dimensions with sub-nanometer precision.

Scanning electron microscopy characterizes the surface morphology, size, and elemental composition of nanoparticles by scanning a focused electron beam of 1 to 30 keV across the sample surface. The interaction of the electron beam with the sample generates secondary electrons that reveal surface topography, backscattered electrons that provide contrast related to atomic number, and characteristic X-rays that enable elemental analysis through energy-dispersive spectroscopy. The SEM instrument comprises an electron gun, condenser and objective lenses, scanning coils that direct the beam across the sample, a vacuum chamber, and multiple detectors for the different signal types. The resulting images provide three-dimensional-like representations of nanoparticle surface features with high depth of field and magnification, making SEM complementary to TEM in that it provides surface information where TEM provides internal structural information.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The five characterization techniques examined in this study collectively address the full range of properties that determine the functional performance of physically synthesized nanoparticles. XRD provides definitive confirmation of crystalline phase identity and purity, which is directly relevant to the high-purity claims made for physical synthesis methods. When XRD analysis reveals well-defined sharp peaks, this confirms that the physical synthesis process has produced crystalline nanoparticles with low defect densities, a key advantage of physical methods over some chemical routes. The Scherrer equation applied to XRD peak broadening data provides a statistically averaged measure of crystallite size that complements the individual particle size measurements obtainable from TEM.

UV-Vis spectroscopy is particularly indispensable for the characterization of metallic nanoparticles produced by physical methods such as laser ablation and arc discharge, as the position and width of the

surface plasmon resonance absorption peak provide direct information about particle size distribution and the degree of particle aggregation. A narrow, well-defined SPR peak indicates a monodisperse particle population, while peak broadening suggests size heterogeneity that may indicate the need for synthesis parameter optimization. FTIR characterization is especially relevant for physically synthesized nanoparticles intended for biological or chemical applications, as it confirms the absence of surface contaminants and provides evidence of the surface chemistry that will govern nanoparticle interactions with biological molecules or reactant species.

TEM and SEM together provide complementary morphological information at different scales and with different emphases. TEM is the preferred technique for confirming the internal crystalline structure and measuring particle dimensions at the atomic scale, while SEM is better suited for surveying larger sample areas and characterizing the three-dimensional surface topology of nanoparticle aggregates or films. For physically synthesized nanoparticles deposited on substrates, as in the case of PVD, SEM provides the most direct visualization of the film morphology and particle distribution. The combination of all five techniques provides a characterization framework that is both comprehensive and mutually validating, with each technique's data serving to corroborate or refine the interpretation of the others.

CONCLUSION

The characterization of physically synthesized nanoparticles requires a multi-technique approach in which XRD, UV-Vis spectroscopy, FTIR, TEM, and SEM each contribute distinct and non-redundant information. XRD establishes crystalline identity and phase purity; UV-Vis probes optical behavior and surface plasmon resonance in metallic systems; FTIR identifies surface functional groups and detects chemical contaminants; TEM reveals internal atomic-scale structure and individual particle morphology; and SEM characterizes surface topology and enables elemental mapping. The systematic application of this characterization suite is essential for confirming that physical synthesis methods have produced nanoparticles with the structural, optical, and chemical properties required for their intended applications. Future developments in nanoparticle characterization will likely emphasize in-situ and real-time analysis methods that can monitor nanoparticle formation during the physical synthesis process itself, enabling adaptive control of synthesis parameters and further enhancing the

precision and reproducibility of physical fabrication methods.

REFERENCES

Brust, M., Walker, M., Bethell, D., Schiffrin, D. J., & Whyman, R. (1994). Synthesis of thiol-derivatised gold nanoparticles in a two-phase liquid–liquid system. Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications, 7, 801–802.

Daniel, M.-C., & Astruc, D. (2004). Gold nanoparticles: Assembly, supramolecular chemistry, quantum-size-related properties, and applications toward biology, catalysis, and nanotechnology. Chemical Reviews, 104(1), 293–346.

Feynman, R. P. (1960). There's plenty of room at the bottom. Engineering and Science Magazine, California Institute of Technology.

Jin, R., Cao, Y., Mirkin, C. A., Kelly, K. L., Schatz, G. C., & Zheng, J. G. (2001). Photoinduced conversion of silver nanospheres to nanoprisms. Science, 294(5548), 1901–1903.

Laurent, S., Forge, D., Port, M., Roch, A., Robic, C., Vander Elst, L., & Muller, R. N. (2008). Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: Synthesis, stabilization, vectorization, physicochemical characterizations, and biological applications. Chemical Reviews, 108(6), 2064–2110. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr068445e

Shin, W.-K., Cho, J., Kannan, A. G., Lee, Y.-S., & Kim, D.-W. (2016). Cross-linked composite gel polymer electrolyte using mesoporous methacrylate-functionalized SiO2 nanoparticles for lithium-ion polymer batteries. Scientific Reports, 6, 26332.